DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 086 362

PS 007 097

TITLE
INSTITUTION
PUB DATE
NOTE

Report of Follow Through Parent Interview Project. Bank Street Coll. of Education, New York, N.Y.

Jan 73 36p.

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS

*Compensatory Education Programs; *Elementary School Students; *Interviews; Parental Grievances; *Parent Attitudes; Parent Participation; *Parent School

Relationship

IDENTIFIERS

Project Follow Through Parent Interview Project

ABSTRACT

This survey, based on interviews with 896 parents, was undertaken in the Bank Street Follow Through Program in Spring 1972. The parent interview developed out of the belief of parents in the program and of Bank Street as sponsor that a program evaluation could be a fruitful project because (1) the process of the interview could be instructive to the parents, (2) interview results could provide a measure of parent attitude, (3) training in interviewing techniques for the parent interviewers could widen parent participation, and (4) the interview project could stimulate interest in parent-directed evaluation. Part I of the interview was designed to measure the degree and quality of the relationship between home and school. Part II of the interview was designed to assess the effectiveness of the Follow Through staff in providing broad services to Follow Through families. Part III of the interview was designed to measure parent participation in parent activities in Follow Through. It was concluded that the parent interview could become an instrument for ongoing self-study and development of the parent group. A summary of responses to the parent questionnaire is appended. (CS)

PROJECT FOLLOW THROUGH
Bank Street Collage of Education
610 West 112th Street
New York, New York 10025

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS PEEN REPRO
DUCED ENACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

January, 1973

REPORT OF FOLLOW THROUGH PARENT INTERVIEW PROJECT

INTRODUCTION

PURPOSES:

The survey, based on interviews with 896 parents, was undertaken in the Bank Street Follow Through Program in the Spring of 1972. Parents, staff from the communities, and Bank Street staff participated in the process of designing the parent interview. It developed out of the mutual belief of parents in the program and Bank Street as sponsor that an evaluation of the parent program could be a fruitful project in many ways:

- a) The process of the interview itself could be instructive for parents about the Bank Street model for Follow Through.
- b) The interview results could provide a measure of parent attitude toward the Bank Street Follow Through program and the extent of their involvement.
- c) The training that parent interviewers received in interviewing techniques could provide them with the personal skills to encourage and develop broader parent participation in the program.
- d) The process of the interview project could stimulate interest in evaluation and ultimately in parent-directed evaluation.

PROCEDURES:

The decision to join the interview program was optional and was made by the PAC in each community. In the ten Bank Street Follow Through projects where the survey was undertaken, the sample was approximately 25% of the Follow Through families, equally divided among perents who were active and those who were inactive in the program. The criteria for classification as active or inactive were established by the PAC. This diversity in the sample was sought in order to guarantee the representation of all degrees of participation and attitude.

TRAINING:

Most of the interviewers were parents of Follow Through children. Social Service staff was utilized for interviewing in a few instances. Interviewers were selected initially for qualities of openness and friendliness. Selection of parent interviewers was generally by the PAC. Training was viewed as a highly important component. It involved instruction about the interview instrument, review of all components of the Bank Street Follow Through approach, and techniques and principles of home visiting. A variety of procedures was used in the training. Some parents worked with Bank Street staff in New York City, then returned to their communities to instruct others. In other communities, training workshops were given by Bank Street staff on-site.



, 15 Billion

In still other communities, local Follow Through staff took responsibility for training of interviewers.

SUMMARY OF MAJOR OUTCOMES RELATED TO FURPOSE

A summary of the results from all of the participating communities follows, along with a report of the statistics. Individual analysis of communities was carried out in a recent Bank Street institute by local community Social Service staff, Parent Coordinators and Bank Street Field Representatives.

The interview project proved highly productive in terms of the original goals set for it:

- a) Parents, both interviewers and those interviewed, reviewed the components of the Bank Street Follow Through Approach in the process of the interview.
- b) The results of the interview reflect a wide and in-depth support of the Follow Through program by parents.
 - c) In general, interviewers found the interviewing experience challenging and broadening. They appeared to experience the growth that comes with involvement and to develop skills that may help them involve other parents in the Follow Through program. Overall, the interviewing itself has been reported by parents as a positive experience. Many parents said that this apportunity to meet and talk to other parents about the Follow Through program not only broadened their acquaintance among the parents, but also increased their effectiveness in interpreting the program to the community.
 - d) An outcome of this project has been the decision in a majority of the communities to re-design the interview instrument to fit individual community specifications and to continue the evaluation process in a self-directed way.

Continuing self-study by parents of their own participation in the Follow Through Program, and of the Follow Through Program itself is consistent with the expectation that all Follow Through staff will be challenged to continue learning. The expectation is that learning, self study, and growth by adults are necessary concomitants of developing a learning environment for children. Future interviewing could both probe and develop parent understanding of the educational programs and the specific functioning of parent action groups. It is an interesting possibility that the parent interview could become an instrument for ongoing self study and development of the parent group.

REPORT OF INTERVIEWS

PART I: HOME-SCHOOL RELATIONSHIP

Part I of the interview was designed to measure the degree and quality of the relationship between the home and school.

The response to the opening question of this interview, "Are you pleased that your child is in Follow Through?" was an almost unanimous "yes" (98%). The affirmation is impressive in itself, but it is the specificity as to why the parents are happy that their children are in Follow Through that gives

the responses special significance. In most cases, parents described specific aspects of the Bank Street Approach in the classroom as the source of their satisfaction with the programs, such as: age-appropriate learning



١

activities, the freedom and activity of the open classroom, the teaching teams working with small groups and individuals, children being involved in learning, and the competence of the Follow Through staff. The combined percent of these four aspects of the model far outnumbered the other categories of response to this question, i.e.: the generalized positive responses about the tangible benefits of the program (health services, nutrition program) and responses about the purely academic achievement of the children.

In answer to the question, "Have you been invited to visit your child's classroom?" there was an overwhelming "yes" response (98%). This is indicative of the development of open communication between Follow Through families and teaching staff for which both groups are working. Large numbers had been invited by traditional means -- Open House and P.T.A. invitations. Sixty-four percent of the parents had been invited by the teacher (by note or verbally) and 33% of the parents had been invited by the paraprofessionals of the Social Service staff. The latter finding is corroborating evidence of the effectiveness of this new role in bridging home and school. (It should be noted that some communities do not have any paraprofessional social workers; thus, a few communities account for the overall percentage.)

Seventy-Rive percent (75%) of the parents had visited the classroom at least once, many often, and many even regularly. Parents perceive the teacher as not only instrumental in inviting parents to come to the classroom initially, but also as the one who has conveyed the spirit of welcome and friendliness that makes parents want to return. Ninety-nine percent (9%) of the parents want to visit the classroom in the future. To explain their feeling of being welcome (98% said the teacher likes to have them visit the classroom), 62% of the parents said it was because the teacher was friendly, comfortable and extended an invitation. Thirty-eight percent (38%) of the parents said it was because of more specific teacher impact: the teacher wants to talk about the child, the program, her work and achievement as demonstrated by children's progress, her need for parents to share information about the children (categories 1, 3, 4, 5, 8.) In no case was there the suggestion that the teacher wanted to talk to a parent because she needed to "complain" about a child. Rather there is the strong sense that parents are aware of their role as the child's first teacher and their role as continuing partner with the teacher, in the school life of the child. Ninety-two percent (92%) of the parents would like to volunteer in the classroom so as to actualize this partnership with the teacher in the classroom.

PART II: HOME-FOLLOW THROUGH STAFF RELATIONSHIP

This section of the interview was designed to assess the effectiveness of the Follow Through Staff, ancillaries as well as teaching staff, in providing broad services to Follow Through families. Eighty-two percent (82%) of the parents replied "yes" to the opening question, "Has anyone connected with the Follow Through Program dropped by to see you at home for a visit?" This impressive outreach of the Follow Through staff was achieved in the main by the Social Service paraprofessionals (36%). Parent Coordinators alone accounted for 23% of the visits, 15% of the visits were made by teachers, 14% by Social Workers, 12% by a variety of other staff members (See 2A).*

Ninety-nine percent (99%) of the parents who were visited indicated that the visit was helpful. Although parents reported wide-ranging discussion on



^{*} See attached copy of the interview which includes statistics for this and subsequent references.

these visits, the focus of most visits appears to have been on extending parent information about the services and opportunities existing in Follow Through for them and their families. (See 2C, Categories 1, 3, 5, 6, 8.) This is consistent with the fact that the visits were largely made by the Social Service staff.

The question, "Has the Follow Through staff helped you in any way?" revealed the many kinds of ways the Follow Through staff had been helpful to the parents. Ninety-three percent (93%) of the parents replied "yes" when asked whether they had received help through the Follow Through staff. The areas in which they felt they had received tangible benefits ranged from concrete services in health, jobs and training to broadened social experience and opportunity. Specifically, eighty-six percent (86%) of the parents had become more knowledgeable about the program. Seventy-eight percent (7%) learned more about (These are significant responses in terms of the reality that their child. informed parents will be the source of effort for the extension of enriched education programs and that a knowledge of child development must be the base of any such programs.) Seventy-two percent (72%) of the parents indicaced that they had made new friends through Follow Through. (The opportunity for broadening one's life through increased social exchange is surely an important gain to come out of this program.) The tangible benefits, as well, have clearly been delivered. Ninety-one percent (91%) of the parents said that through Follow Through staff, they had received training, welfare benefits, health services, or legal services. Of the 113 parents who had not been helped by staff but would like help, 91% expressed the need for advice in making material gains for their family (2F, Categories 1, 2, 3, 8, 9.)

PART III: PARENT PROGRAM

This section of the interview was designed to measure parent participation in parent activities in Follow Through, since one of the purposes of the interview was to see to what extent parents actually participated in the parent program. The design of this study was that it include one-half active parents and one-half inactive parents (as determined by the interviewing committee). It is interesting that the parents who were interviewed had a perception of their extent of activity similar to that of the selecting committee. Fifty-four percent (54%) of the parents who responded to the question, "Have you been active in the Parent Program?" said "yes". A much higher percentage of parents have been active in the educational program for the children, as shown in other sections of this report. The Parent Program represents an extension of the personal life which has not been characteristic of the lives of these parents. (For instance, only 31% of the parents indicate that they have been active in any other community programs). The beginnings of group and community activity for previously isolated parents is a goal of the Follow Through program, for not only is their participation enriching to the program but their organizational skills will insure effective support for the program.

Forty-five percent (45%) of the parents (using Total N 896) responded "yes" to the question, "Do you spend time in the Parent Room (or Center)?"

It should be noted that three communities -- Cambridge, Boulder, Philadelphia -- do not have Parent Rooms. These parents who frequent their Parent Room perceive it as a center for workshops and parent meetings as well as for personal recreation.

The organization of a Policy Advisory Committee is called for explicitly in the Follow Through guidelines. The organization and function of a PAC requires a degree of professional skill. It is significant that 50% of the parents do know about the PAC and described in some detail the work of the PAC.



It was hoped that the answers to the question, "He the Follow Through Program helped you in any way?" would indicate in what ways the Follow Through Program had touched and changed the personal, affective life of the parent -- his or her feelings about self, feelings about the parent's role in the educational life of each child and in the community. Actually, the most frequently expressed response was that the program had helped the parent personally by increasing understanding of his or her own child and by helping the child (3E Categories 2, 3, 5). The other major response to this question reflects change and growth in the parents' personal lives through their own experience in the Follow Through Program (3E, Categories 4, 6, 8).

PART IV: CHILDREN'S EDUCATION PROGRAM

The final section of the parent interview inquired into the parents' know-ledge about the children's education program. It is a tenet of The Bank Street Model that the parents be knowledgeable about the developmental base and related curriculum of the children's school life. When this is so, parents tend to reinforce and extend the children's learnings. Parents become knowledgeable as they observe and participate in the classroom and have opportunity to discuss the program with the staff. A vast majority of the parents had replied to questions in an earlier section of the interview that they had been in the classroom (75%), they had talked to someone in the classroom about the program (86% of those who visited), and they would like to participate in the classroom as volunteers (92%). This final section indicates parents are aware and approving of the activity of the classroom and are understanding of its base.

The two opening questions, "Could you tell me everything your child should be learning in school?" and "What do you think your child is learning?" were expected to reveal two things: parents' understanding of what early childhood education should be through expression of their expectation, and the degree of their satisfaction with how things are actually going. In fact, answers to these questions resoundingly showed that the hopes that parents expressed for their children's learning were matched by their beliefs of what the children were learning.

Fifty-seven percent (57%) said children should learn academic skills (Category 4A 1). Fifty-four percent (54%) said children were learning academic skills (Category 4B 1). In addition, there were 325 generalized positive statements about learning (Category 4B 3).

Twenty-three percent (23%) said children should develop social skills and strengths (Categories 6,7). Thirty percent (30%) said children were developing social skills and strengths (Categories 2, 6, 7).

Although the responses that children are learning "reading, writing and arithmetic" may seem standard, it is significant that in a model that emphasizes the integration of academic learning through an experience base in the primary grades, parents are convinced that their children are learning skills. This result is complementary to the survey introduction in which the large percentage of responses to the question "What things about the Follow Through Program make you glad your child is in it?" were descriptive of the Bank Street Approach. The fact that 30% of the parents noted that their child was learning social skills indicates that parents perceive social interaction as a valid component of an educational program.

Parents reported in great detail the variety of classroom activities that children talk about at home (4D) and the variety of papers and constructions that children bring home from school (4E). As parents replied to the question, "What are some of the things your child really likes about school?", their



responses became more differentiated. However, when all the categories that relate to learning and curriculum (4F, Categories 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, including 3 -- "generally likes school") are combined, it is the <u>educational program</u> that clearly emerges as the source of children's pleasure in school (as compared to social or physical factors).

When parents were asked whom they liked to talk to about their child's education, they named the teacher overwhelmingly (78%). This establishes the fact that parents perceive the teacher as a warm, friendly, sympathetic person with whom they can communicate freely. Again, this is positive affirmation of the kind of open, sharing relationship that makes possible the individualized experience and curriculum in the child's school life. It also sets up for parents an expectation of the quality of teacher-parent-child relationship that could follow throughout the child's school years.

Of the 203 parents who responded to a request as to whether they wanted to tell something else about their child, 4% made one more positive comment about the Bank Street Follow Through Program as well as speaking about their own child. This unsolicited praise at the end of a long interview seemed a spontaneous overflow of real enthusiasm.

FINDINGS OF THE PARENT INTERVIEW SURVEY

Part I - Home-School Relations

- 1. 98% of the parents expressed satisfaction that their children were in Follow Through.
- 2. 37% of those parents expressed satisfaction in generalized terms, but many parents went on to describe specifically what they liked in the Follow Through program: they described aspects of the Bank Street Follow Through classroom (team teaching, Follow Through staff, learning centers within the classroom, quality of children's learning, categories 5,6,7,10,12).
- 3. 98% of the parents had been invited to visit the classroom (33% of the parents had been invited by the paraprofessionals of the Social Service Staff).
- 4. 75% of the parents had visited the classroom at least once -- many often -- and many even regularly. Of those who were unable to go:

40% did not go because of work 29% did not go because of babysitter problems Only 7 parents said they just didn't want to go

- 5. 86% of the parents who had visited had talked to someone in the classroom about the program.
- 6. 87% of the parents have talked to the teacher about their child.
- 7. 99% of the parents want to visit the classroom in the future.
- 8. 98% of the parents feel that the teacher likes to have them visit the classroom. To explain their feeling of being welcome:

62% of the parents said it was because the teacher was friendly, comfortable, and extended an invitation. An additional 38% of the parents said it was because of more specific teacher impact—the teacher wants to talk about the child, the program, her work and achievement as demonstrated by children's progress, her need for parents to share information about the children. (Categories 1,3,4,5,8)

9. 92% of the parents said they would like to volunteer in the classroom.

Part II - Home-Follow Through Staff Relations

1. 82% of the parents have been visited in their home by a Follow Through staff member (36% of these visits were made by Social Service paraprofessionels, 23% by Parent Coordinators, 15% by teachers, 14% by Social Workers, 12% by a variety of other staff members. N=727 parents visited).



- 2. 99% of the parents stated that the home visit was helpful (N=702 parents replying).
 - a) 42% of the parents were invited to participate in classroom and parent programs by the visitor (Categories 1,3,5, N=727 parents visited).
 - b) 72% of the parents said that the visitor had explained the Follow Through program, including the educational, parent involvement, and health components (Categories 2,6,8).
- 93% of the parents stated that they had received help in their personal lives through the Follow Through staff (ranging from tangible benefits to broadened social experience and opportunity).
 - 4. Of the 113 parents who said they would like help, (parents who either had not received help or who wanted additional help), 91% wanted tangible material help (Categories 1,2,3,8,9).

Part III - Parent Program

- 1. 54% of the parents describe themselves as active in the Parent Program (as distinguished from the children's educational program). The design of this study called for the sample to be drawn from both active and inactive parents.
- 2. Of the 594 parents who replied to the question about whether they spent time in the Parent Room, 68% said yes. Several communities do not have Parent Rooms, thus the narrowed response.
- 3. Parents perceive the Parent Room as a center forworkshops and parent meetings as well as for personal recreation.
- 4. 50% of the parents know about the PAC (Policy Advisory Committee).
- 5. 31% of the parents indicated that they had been active in other community activity.
- 6. It was expected that the replies to the question, "Has the Follow Through Program helped you in any way?" would express ways in which the program had touched the parents' personal lives. The most frequently expressed response was that the program had helped the parent personally by increasing her understanding of her child (Categories 2,3,5).

Part IV - Children's Education Program

- 1. The hopes that parents expressed for their children's learning were matched by their beliefs of what the children were learning.
 - a) 57% said children should learn academic skills.
 - 74% said children were learning academic skills (in addition, there were 325 generalized positive statements about learning).



- b) 23% said children should develop social skills and strengths (Categories 6,7).
 - 30% said children were developing social skills and strengths (Categories 2,6,7).
- 2. Parents reported in great detail the variety of classroom activities that children talk about at home.
- 3. 94% of the parents reported in great detail the variety of papers and construction children bring home from school.
- 4. Parents expressed overwhelmingly that what their children liked about school were the curriculum activities.
- 5. 78% of the parents said that the teacher is the person they lke to talk to about their child's education (another 66 parents said they liked to talk to the teacher assistant).
- 6. Of the 203 parents who wanted to tell something else about their child, 49% wanted to say one more positive, laudatory thing about the program.



SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO PARENT QUESTIONNAIRE

Home-School Relationships

Are you pleased that your child is in Follow Tirrough?

읾	93
딥	က္လဝ
E I	0
田	2½ 0
A	215 4
ml	36
Þί	151 0
MC	209 4
MY	63
ပ <u>ု</u>	37
Total	881 15
	Yes No

If Yes:

What things about the Bank Street sponsored Follow Through program make you glad your child is in it? (List spontaneous responses)

Cat	Categories of responses ³		1==	# of responses	bons	es					1		
H.	General positive feeling	Total 333	010	NY MC 8 152	12 W		-1E	HV 73	田6	121 R	7 <u>2</u>	1 1 1	
ณ์	Children learn specific skills	99	0	13	<i>C</i> 3	20	0	10	Н	0	0	18	
ů	Children have opportunity for social development	710	0	12	0	8	8	12	Н	0	0	. 4	
.	Children experience positive personal devolopment (independence, responsibility, confidence)	52	5	8	€ /	6	ıv.	4	0	Н	O	Φ	
5.	Learning activities are age-appropriate	20	3	12 1	12 1	Ħ	α	16	Н	Н	N	6	

30ategories for all questions were arrived at by collapsing the complete list of responses to a question HV=Huntsville, FH=Fhiladelphia (Dunbar), R=Rochester, FL=Platisourgh, HO=Honolulu Amben differences appear between total number of responses and the total N (896) these differences 1 CCDE: C=Cambridge, NY=New York, MC=Macon County (Tuskegee), W=Wilmington, B=Brattleboro omission of answers or multiple response to a question. are due to

into categories that adequately described all answers.

Categories of responses (Cont'd)

		Total	ات	ŽĮ.	2	pel :	щi	H	뙶	æ۱	딥	읾
•	The freedom and activity of the open classroom	117	æ	13	1 C	52	8	31	4	9	H	19
7.	The teaching teams working with small groups and individuals	235	15	12	38	12	17	96	-	9	16	16
က်	Parent involvement	37	Ø	-	10	ന	က	72	0	က	٦	N
. %	Program provides good orientation to school	33	0	9	18	†	0	ω	0	rH .	Н	ri .
10.	Children are involved in learning	107	က	9	42	23	5	21	Н	5	0	19
.	Health program, nutrition (including lunch program)	193	<i>∞</i>	N		16	m	35	₽	0	œ	15
21	Positive attitude toward Follow Through staff (Leacher)	203	_	က	152	9	0	6	0	17	0	6
13.	Positive effects on mother and family	175	0	Н	157	Н	0	Ħ	0	Н.	-	က
14.	Program makes no difference	. 9	0	Н	†	٦	0	0	0	0	0	0
15.	No knowledge about Bank Street	56	٦	0	ო	0	႕	10	0	0	0	11



1. Home School Relationship

a) Have you been invited to visit your child's classroom?

			Total	ଧା	ğ	S S	≊i	μl	H	剧	æl	립	읦
Yes	ທ		874 20	37	7 0	208 4	149 1	33	214	22	31	22	85
Ħ	If Yes:												
HO	Wer	How were you invited?				,							
٦.		Open House Invitation	† 5†	17	Sir	54	100	83	108	20	21	23	47
8		Note from Teacher	520	13	24	117	95	19	147	7	27	22	30
်ကိ		PTA Invitation	94	23	34	ተተፒ	₹	7	122	3	92	ನ	1 4
1	By	By my child	504	17	7 47	156	65	8	66	4	25	83	64
5.		Other Categories											
	i,	Flyers	22	0	15	러	Н	N	m	0	0	0	0
	જં	Parents' meetings, luncheons	57	က	က	0	ч	0	N	0	0	0	£4
	က	Principal	11	0	N	0	.+	٦	Н	0	0	က	0
	.	Teacher - verbally	57	∞	7	†		က	7	0	Н	m	13
	5.	Own initiative	25	H	4	က	6	0	0	Н	Н	ო	æ

+

		Total	ان	M	SI	ızı	ır.	图	出	۳I	딥	읾
6,	Social Worker	50	0	0	53	Ø	0	<i>t</i>	0	0	0	77
7.	By PAC	검	0	ч	10	0	0	Н	0	0	0	0
œ̈́	Paraproféssionals - Social Service Staff	300	ო	9	19	69	0	140	0	0	0	걶
6	Unidentified	23	႕ .	0	Н	0	-	0	0	#	٦	15
10.	Teacher - Written	15	0	0	0	0	0	Н	0	0	0	17
ij	Staff developer	10	0	0	0	Н	0	0	0	ω	Н	0
b) Have	Have you been able to go: Yes No	693 174	33	0 179	152 56	31	25	153 53	19	78	22 0	81 14
If Yes:	••											
Ном ш	How many times have you been	in your child's	hild'		school this year?	is yea	ř.;					
1. Ev	Everyday - or almost (120 times)	, 25	α	59	႕	1	0	Н	N	Н	0	5
2. Re.	Regularly (often, many 30 - 60 times)	112	က	17	5	23	N	58	N	12	12	ជ
з.	<pre>1 - 5 times (several)</pre>	323	18	10	%	57	18	29	9	10	ω	33
h. 6	6 - 10 times	141	6	ω	32	œ	5	64	†	Ŀ	Ø	17
5. II	<pre>11 = 20 times (or twice a month)</pre>	80	0	ო	17	17	Μ	덩	5	ᆏ	0	13

		Total	ଧା		S	≽l	മി	副	田	œ۱	딞	읾
\o.	Never (0)	14	8	0	0	8	0	0	N	0	Ч	ч
7.	Works in program	ω	러.	0	0	Ч	0	, ,	0	0	0	9
범	If No:					•	,					
ŕŚ	on and you tell us why you were	were unable	to go?	1								
;	Work	79	Ø	0	18	16	77	32	0	0	0	9
તં	Transportation problem	16	0	0	7	٦,	0	4		0	0	0
ကံ	Baby-sitter problem	. 95	N	0	17	15	ч	14	0	0	0	10
_+	Illness, accident, pregnancy	36	Н	0	13	9	٦	5	N	-	0	7
	Just didn't want to go.	7		0	m	0	0	က	0	0	0	ч
	Have you had a chance to talk to	alk to	someone	in	the cla	classroom	about	the	program?	n ?		
	Yes No	597 292	25 14	46 17	102	101 148	92,8	159	11 01	30	[²	76 21

Yes 715 36 63 152 128
No 104 1 0 64 18
e) Would you like to visit the classroom in the future?

Have you talked to your child's teacher about your child?

q)

85 8

53,

98 പ

77

153 8

37

þ

읾		88 8			· #	84	17	0	1.8
귊		80			12	22	đ	0	H
ឝា		6,0			7	16	m	0	. 9
뙶		19			0	. 2 ³⁴ . C	0	0	21
副		217			17	175	9	က	17
മി		35			. 9	50	8	٦	4
≱I	to visit the classroom?	1160 3			iÙ	98	. `	7	28
MC	e clas	216			2	ાંડા	18	Ø	58
M	sit th	64		ů.	12	26	72	0	.16
ပါ	to vis	. 35			0	΄ ω	က	. 01	rv
Total	· likes you	865 20	y?		talk child q.es-	n: come 547	ram ry 55	her oval 17	tts .or. 174
	Do you feel the teacher likes you	Yes	If Yes: Why do you feel that way?	Categories	 Teacher wants to ta with me about my ch and to answer my q.; tions. 	2. Teacher extends open invitation and welcome asks me to return	3. Teacher wants me to understand the program and be able to help my child.	4. Teacher is proud of her work and wants approval 17	5. Teacher wants parents to see the children's progress and behavior.



(J

-2-

읾	<i>ವ</i> +	m	٦	17	α.	α	0	0
딥	N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
œ۱	ન	m	0	4	0	H	Н	0
剧	0	. 0		0	0	0	0	0
A	0	77	α	<i>‡</i>	ო	н	T.	0
щI	r.	0	0	Н	0	0	0	0
≱I	80	0	0	H	0	0	ผ	ч
SI M	. ·	r-i	m	Н	0	0	Н	٦
MY	ო	m	4	3	Ч	Ø	٦	0
ଧା	0	0	က	0	N	0	0	, 0
Total	30	15	13	31	80	9	9	ผ
	Concerned parents want to come and know the program.	I feel part of the program, enjoy the activity.	The teacher feels I am interested in my child.	Because we help each other.	Children feel more interest in their work when parents come.	Because the teaching team relationship is good.	I am entitled to go as a parent.	There has recently been a problem.
	9	7.	ω.	6	10.	.	12.	13.

If no: Why don't you think the teacher likes you to visit the classroom?

No answers.

슏

\$	12
	0
ည	4
22	Ŋ
214	7
5₫	10
137	ω
210	1,4
53	ω
g	2
72 72 73	75
Yes	No
	821 28 53

8

h) Have you ever taken trips with your child's class?

	18	J.
	22	σ
_	H	12
	100	120
	10	56
	63	88
	52	119
	3	138
	ನ	18
	364	1 86
	Yes	CN
,		

21

2. Home-Follow Through Staff Relationship

Has anyone connected with the Follow Through program dropped by to see you at home for a visit?

35	
۵,°	J
30	1
3	-
17t 15	2
25	
147	•
207	•
1 5	1
32	-
727 163	1
Yes	2

If Yes, Who? Categories

i.	1. Social Service Para-	,		•		(
	professionals	326	-	34	က	128	0	137	0	N	75	Q,
ุณ	2. Murse	81	က	٦	9	0	H	84	0	m	0	0
က်	3. Nurses' Aide	5	Т	٦	Т	0	0	0	0	0	0	a
.	4. Social Worker	105	2	· ન	84,	m	4	18	0	0	N	22
7,	5. Parent Coordinator	214	18	N	136	56	7	0	က	15	m	0
9	6. Teacher	112	ω	Ч	16	17	10	5₽	0	25	8	m

Teacher assistant	Total 26	ol ^۳	0	O MC	ĭ 2	_ф i о	AH O	出。	ద	리	임임
	† ††	0	3	37	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Š	9	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Was the visit helpful											
Yes (169 ₁	30	41	203 4	131	₹2°.	170 0	m 0	28	80	4‡ 1
C. What kind of things did you	telk	about	on th	on these visits?	sits?			,			
Visiting the classroom, school getting acquainted.	45	٦	9	9	9	77	17	0	0	ч	· H
Trips (include class trip to a child's home)	18	٦	Ø	N	0	٦	10	Н	Ч	0	0
Encouraging me to come to workshops and parent activities in Parent Room 2	231	5	10	17	58	က	111	0	13	11	က
She helped me personally and with my children (in-cluding behavior)	. 65	7	7	17	7	4	14	0	9	α	0
Working in classroom	11	0	3	8	23	0	7	0	0	0	9
The Follow Through pro- gram what it offers children and parents	388	9	15	187	78	2	64	Ч	7	17	27

-10-

PL HO	0	0 11	1	8 10	0	7	0	0	0	
<u>د</u> ا	0	Ø	0	27	0	0	α	0	, 0	
剧	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	
H	0	44	12	52	N	٦	9	0	.	
മി	0	10	H	13	0	•	. 0	•	0	
βĺ	0	က	H	33	.0	T	0	0	H	
잁	H	38	4	717	. 0	. 9	25	Н.	14	
M	٦	4	L -	7	7	ч	0	ч	٦	`
ध	0	4	m	16	0	0	•	4 O	Ø	٠
Total	8	911	35	211	11	21	36	α	19	
	Interview	Health, medical care	Child's illness or mother's illness, family health needs	Child's progress in school and problems	Attenders keeping children in school	How we can improve relationship between parents and teachers	Family's material needs	Talked to husband about trouble with the children	My attitude toward the program.	Talked about our home- life what child does
	7.	φ .	9.	10.	11.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.

읾		33		81		0	Н	0	٦	N	4	ო
딦		16		13 3		0	0	0	0	٦	5	0
ផា	113%	12		10		٦	0	0	0	0	0	0
出	in your family?	116		62		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	in you	57 162		11	• • •	12	N	0	0	α	7.	0
μl	.1dren	17	ري د.	8		0	ч	0	0	러	0	-
≱I	er chi	35	ontact	32	nt.	7	\sim	0	, -	0	0	Ø
윘	through older children	92	ough c	23	different.	႕	٦	0	٦	Н	0	H
MY	throu	35	w Thr	833	rere d	5	ε	rd	٦	ᆏ	4	0
ଧା	school	ដូង	Follo	19	acts w	. (1)	Ч	0	0	Н	α	0
Total		344 525	from your	256 88	hese cont	đ 28	12	П	7	σ	ence 22	
	D. Have you had other contacts with the	Yes	E. If Yes: Were those contacts different from your Follow Through contacts?	Yes	If Yes, please describe how these contacts were	1. Previous contact concerned child's behavior	2. Previous contact was by mail or telephone	3. My child is brought home now if he is ill.	4. Help is provided now in getting child to school	5. Previously went to school for formal occasions	 Freviously went to school for Parent-Teacher conference only. 	7. Now visit the classroom often.

								_	_	٥.	Q	٠
2	-	.0\	0	0		88 ~	•	8	8	32		9
딥	7	લ	0	0		630		22	22	Ø,	ਜ	17
æ١	0	6	0	•		9		23	25	9	0	9
图	Ö	0	0	0		14		Ħ	90	9	0	-
i Bl	O	m	٥	0		203 18		159	123	23	17	147
μl	p+l	ers.	H	0		10		38	33	Q	<i>#</i>	₹7
jæi	9	15	0	0		143		124	108	15	9	귴
-12 IM	20	19	0	ч	3y?	212		193	176	18	83	182
ğ	4	ω	႕	0	any way?	58 8		177	54	19	N	<u> 1</u> 4
٥I	~	10	0	e4	ir	25 4		क्ट	なっ	m	4	17
Total	31	त्रा	O	ત	lped y	841 63	·	725	653	133	59	531
Categories (cont'd) Receive more information	about the Follow Through classroom.	9. Aware of difference in classroom and curriculum procedures.	10. My child now asks me to come to school.	11. No difference in contacts before and now.	F. Has the Follow Through staff helped you	Yes	If Yes: Has the Follow Through Staff:	1. Helped you understand more about the program?	2. Helped you understand more about your child?	3. Helped you take courses or any kind of training?	4. Helped you get welfare benefits?	5. Helped you get health services?

_	ļ	
C	۲	
,	-	١
	1	ļ

					_		_			,			
剧	Ø	69			9	ന	4	† T		15		7	
닯	0	22			Ч	, - 1	0	#		ოდ		0	
œΙ	N	23			N	0	N	٦		о н		0	
田	0	7			Н	0	0	0	-	এঐ		0	
H	13	127			#	N	0	н		45		ч	
μl	ผ	39			4	0	0	0		2 14	•	0	
βĺ	œ	98	ay?	•	'n	ന	9	H		16 18		11	
NG MG	15	169	any other way?		īC	N	N	9		8 2		13	
M	N	14	o kar		, N	٦	0	₽		12		N .	
ы	ณ	19	in		, N	N	0	က	any way?	9		~	
Categories (Cont'd) Total	6. Helped you get legal 46 services?	7. Helped you make new friends? 606	Has the Follow Through staff helped you	Categories	<pre>1. Helped become more in- volved in child's work and school.</pre>	2. Helped with other children 14	3. Helped get a job. 19	4. Broadened my life-coping ability.	uld you like them to help you in	Yes 113 No 112	If Yes: Please describe how they can help you.	 Help with housing problems, get a job. 	
OI	9	L	ш	OI.	ı	,,v	m	ተ	If No: Wo		ΗЩ	П	

ERIC Product by ERIC

	R	•	0 1	0	0	0 3	0	0 \	0	0			22 9 13
	田	0	•	٦	0		o	0 %	0	0			1 7Т
	H	Н	0	H	0	·	0	9	ત	0.			103
	μl	0	0	0	0	ᆏ	0	, H	0	0			16 20
	βl	. 0	ω	9	ч	7	0	Ø	0	0			85 85
-14-	SI SI	77	٦	N	0	2	0	10	Ø	5			108
•	MX	0	m	0	ผ	N	3	α.	· H	0		ram?	2 2
	تا	0	0	N	Ø	N	H	O '.	0	н		t Progi	32
	Total	50	14	17	7	25	٦	83	Ħ	10		in the Parent Program?	1,73 1,06
		2. Inform me of community services and help me take advantage of them (education).	3. Provide adult education courses.	4. Help me understand the program better.	5. Help me understand how to work better with my child.	6. Help me with specific problems as they arise	7. Get Follow Through in all schools and upper grades.	G. Help me get health services.	9. Help me get welfare services.	· 10. More Parent involvement	3. Parent Program	A. Have you been active in th	Yes

If Yes: Do you spend time in the parent room or center?

	පී1රී අ
	되 6~
	81C
	照うる
	106 156
	¤10 8
	23 23 23
	हाधि
1	C NY 41 28 14
	ე <u>†</u> 8
	Tota1 105 189
1	Yes No

If yes: Please list the main activities in the parent room or center.

			•			1	•					
r i	1. Sewing	164	0	22	65	12	0	22	7	14	Ø	23
જં	Crocheting, knitting, hooking rugs, weaving	181	0	27	92	15	0	19	m	0	C	}
က်	Curriculum workshops	81	0	23	0	, 0	0	94) 0	· -	0	
- ‡	Drug workshops	19	0	4	0	러	0	12	0	0	0	N
5.	Parent meetings (rap sessions speakers, plan- ning trips, film)	239	0	15	36	۲7	_	90	r	Ç	t	
٠.	Library		C	` [, (i «	+ (Ž	-	Ž)		0
	Meeting with PAC	- 23	0) <u>, </u>	2 0	o c	m 0	0 (0 (O (0 ,
ω.	Socializing	69	0	-	1 m	, 6	0	37	0	ο ω	0	H

Do you know about the Policy Advisory Committee ... (It is sometimes called PAC, or Policy Advisory Committee or Council)? e Pa

읾 Þ Ħ H 3 Ä Ā 2 Tota1

C. W

		Total	Ol.	텖	일	≊I	МI	剧	剧	œ۱	립
Wou	Would you briefly describe what you know about the work of	you k	now at	out th	le wor	z of	your Pc	licy A	your Policy Advisory Committee?	COM	ittee?
egories	ies								. ,		
ri H	A group of volunteer parents who discuss the program and advise on the program policy.	641	-10	15	12	24	r!	27	· r	m	႕
ું.	Make up the proposals for the Follow Through program.	55	0	10	77	#	0	Ŋ	0	m	က
က်	Interview for hiring F.T. personnel and admissions screening	84	N	5	က	9	α	5	0	9	ط
.	Works with the staff to help solve problems within the program.	947	ᆏ	ന	ω	80	. w	5	0	10	. 0
5.	Copes with needs of child- ren and parents (including jobs).	37	0	m	0/	9	Н	ं तं	0	α	2
	PAC instructs about the school system how to speak up for your child	23	. 0	#	11	0	0	က	0	0	α
7.	Has a formal structure monthly meeting, knows the guidelines, by-laws, makes the budget	117	4	9	ω	18	က	84	0	0	0

18

9

0/

. .

30

	1
÷	4
۰	1

					! -i							
Catego	Categories (Cont'd)	Total	ं ।		일	ĭ≥l	mΙ	A	出	۳I	리	
8	Works with community (Board of Ed.), is informed of Federal Program.	13	0	Н	4	0	Ø	0	H	0	•	
9,	Observes in the class-rooms.	12	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	
10.	Helps keep parents involved in school	61	-	က	7	0/	9	12	0	12	5	
न	Negative comment	1 7	ณ	0	14	٦	N	Õ	0	٣	0	
D. Are	Are you active in otherCommunity Programs?	ty Prog	rams?									
	Yes	264 601	14 25	24 38	80 116	33	1 ¹ t 21	48 172	18	\$7 77	12	
If Yes	If Yes, would you please tell us wh	what r these community programs	ese co	iummi	ty pro		are?					
Categories	ries							,				
1. Chi	Church	81	Н	12	42	2	, m	10	0	٦	٦	
2. Cor	Community educational program	2	9	9	9	17	7	7	0	8	ω	
3. Coi	Community recreational program	1,7	N	6	n	Н	m	77	0	0	9	
4. Cor	Community service program	105	8	9	53	18	က	20	0	က	72	
5. 0th	Other committees within the school.	55	0	N	41	N	0	7	0	က	ပ	
6. Wel	Welfare groups	κ	0	٦	0	٦	0	٦	0	0	0	

	딞		23 65 0 25		1 10	1 10	رم در	9 22	11 12	2 10	9 0	т	٦	0
	۳1	•	27 4		9	N	7	· H	14	7	ผ	2	Ч	਼ੁਲ
	出		ωω		0	0	N	N	N	0	0	0	႕	0
	剧		150		82	7	10	17	59	24	m	17	21	0
	μl		ઌૢૹ		N.	m	Ч	9	0,		N	Н	α	0
	≱l		22		<i>‡</i>	Ч	ч	75	62	9	6	Ø	17	. 4
	S S	way?	194 16		7	٦	0	15	75	9	Ø	က	55	ч
	M	in any	12		2	9	7	7	58	5	ec.	က	ન	-
	ъI	you	26 13		1	0	0	က	7	0	٦	0	4	· H
	Total	helped	688	ped you.	62	38	34	98	29 ^t	65	58	39	111	.#
ঠ		. Has the Follow Through program	Yes	If Yes, please describe how it helped you.	. Changed attitude toward school (feel welcome and involved)	. Have learned about children and adults.	. Have learned to work with children.	. Opened up new experiences gained knowledge and education	. Increased understanding of child's education and child	. Made new friends	. Helped me to get a job.	. Helped me gain confidence	. Helped my child, family and me with problems.	. Helped me to learn how to fight for my children's education.
		Ħ		四	٦	ď	က်	.	5	9	7.	ထဲ	9	10.

בן-

HO		N	N		82	13	15	9/	7 ₹	Ħ	11	0	m
딥	0	. 0	0		ထ	7	13	. 0	0	2	0	0	0
æ	Н	0	0		19	7	12	0	Q	۲.	~	0	H
田	0	0	!		9	ᠴ	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
剧	Ħ	٦	Μ		173	72	41	0	35	જ	10	o	0
m!	ď	٦	H		15	13	ω	宀	5	7	0	0	H
M	9	0	0		120	25	715	٦	14	7	က	0	0
S S	13	52	m		180	12	161	٦	39	9	N	0	o .
M	0	0	1		50	17	10	က	7	<i>.</i> ‡	٣	H	A
ଧ	N	Н	m	ng?	23	11	15	0	ď	9	ന	0	0
Total	Τή	24	14	is learning?	629	185	325	15	127	54	33		· •••
	12. Creative expression, problem solving	13. Perception	14. More discipline	What do you think your child	l. Academic skills	2. Social skills	3. Generalized positive statement and attitude about learning.	4. Tyring	5. Art and other creative activities	6. Self-expression, insight	7. Independence	8. Pledge to the flag	9. Social studies, environmental studies

	ິບ		H	Car	j.	ં તં	ຕໍ	.	5.	•	7.	ϡ	<u>6</u>
	Do you think that the school could	Yes	Yes, how could the school do	Categories	More homework	Special classes for slow learners, more help	Always room for improvement	By slowing down	Keep Follow-Through and ex- tending it to upper grades, extend Kindergarten day	Teachers do not have enough authority, need better disci- pline.	The 3 r's more work on skills.	Explain children's work to parents better, explain assignments to children	More understanding of indi- vidual child.
Total	could do	313 539	a better		18	19	57	က	38	₹ -	2ħ	23	30
OI,	ಹ	26 13	job?		0	α	īU	0	7	7	-1	0	T.
MY	better job?	33			8	Т	8	H	α	N	ო	٦	ო
잃	500	79			Q.	0	23	0	9	13	70	N	7
⊠I		148 87	٠		ч	0	7	0	Н	N	m	α	N
ДI	•	13			0	႕	#	-	0	, 4	H	0	-
AH.		68 152			검	Ø	Т	0	21	7	8	13	7.
出		2			0	0	Т	0	0	. ન	0	H	H
۳I		25			0	0	#	0	0	0	-	Ч	0
딞		12			0	0	0	0	0	, 0	0	0	α
읾		25 68			٦	<i>‡</i>	4	-	H	Н	Ω.	က	ω
					•								

٠				ī	-25-								
Catego	ori	Categories (Cont'd)	Total	ઇ	M	욁	3	A l	≅l	田	æ	FL	HO
П	10.	More new experiences for children (including foreign language)	7	Н	гч	н	H	٥	0	۰ ،	I 0	1 -	4
1	ri.	More staff development (including principal)	33	0	က	18	m	н	6	0	0	0	
ri	12.	Do not change guidelines or rules	4	0	۵	a	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 0
Н	13.	By having a "suggestion box"	· 8 1	٥	٦	040	0	` •	_	0	0	0	. 0
н	14.	More parent participation	23	0	Q	5	ω	0	7	Н	0	0	· «
#	μD.	What does your child tell	you about school?	۰.					-				
ĕΙ	ate	Categories											
ri ri	j.	Generally positive about school	280	14	91	146	745	m	38	5	્ય	-	13
໙່		School work, curriculum	255	9	19	89	34	4	69	ο/	† 1	0	, ç
'n		The children	136	9	91	23	27	5	43	0	6	0	3
.		Talks about the teacher and assistant, what is said	- 564	æ	19	62	35	α	88	4	13	۲۰) P
3.		Specific activities (dictionary, maps, shopping, gym, typing, assemblies, library)	225	14	∞	r.	50	10	æ	v	΄ α		, ,
.9		Trips	137	ĸ	17	, 88 88	\ 2	13	<u> </u>) 0	, o) <u>-</u>	رد 14
7.		What she was annoyed about	70	0	4	15	m	rd	- ω	0		. 0	

(3)
FRIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

	01		9	7					7.			α	12	0	7
	윎	-	16	35	0	-	~		295			82	-	19	37
	別	N	80	20	0	0	0		23			22	Ο.	က	18
	αi	Ø	-	11	0	0	0		3100			21	7	77	22
	出	0	#	0	0	0	0		0 %			13	91	4	7
	H	ri	23	143	9	0	0		218 0			178	147	65	129
	m۱	-	7	17	-	0	-		36			8	8	5	16
	Σl	0	9,	38	0	-	-	51.8	107 14			11.9	22	13	74
	ଥା		<u>o</u>	6(2	Ś	₽	ir school?	208			160	89	36	747
)	Mi	က	. ‡	22	٦	٦	-	done i	90	,		24	22	A	22
	OI	0	က	7	0	0	0	has	ώ, o			27	Н	56	17
	Total	13	%	292	15	5	5	things he	836 946	me?		695	509	186	ग र्ठा
	Categories (Cont'd)	8. How new things are introduced, and if complicated	9. The meals	10. Tells everything about the day and what was learned	11. Homework	12. Wants me to come to class	13. About his needs	4E. Does your child ever bring home	Yes	If Yes, what does your child bring home?	Categories	1. Art work (including papers)	2. Homework	 Stories and poems and reports he has written (including papers) 	4. Other school work math, reading, spelling

-54-

				r		٠.			٠.		٠.		
ည	tegori	Categories (Cont'd)	Total	ध	MY	MG	. 2	മ 1	剧	田	αi	딦	田
	5.	Books, puzzles, wagon, dolls	104	2	ω.	21	19	4	59	0	. 9	0	10
	9	Holdiay cards	36	0	9	9	٦	0	80	0	0	Ο.	15
	7.	Other things he has made kite, fire hat, Christmas gifts	230	13	16	12	35	. %	92	α	က	Ø	4
4	œ́	Flyers	95	0	. ო.	Ø	9	0	16	0	0	22	7
	6	Tests	디		๙า	2	0	0	0	က	0	0	0
	10.	His diary	9	٦	અ	0	0	Ч	0	0	0	0	Ŋ
	ä	Ideas, systems, gossip, enthusiasm	9	٦	က	0	0	N	0	0	0	0	0
	4F.	What are some of the things that y	your child		really l	likes a	about	school	6. •		•		re.
	Cate	Categories											
	1.	Learning academic skills	762	9	25	26	04	0,	23	က	75	, α	143
	໙້	Trips (including regular bus ride to school)	147	5	20	34	7	8	. 62	5	10	12	13
	m,	Everything (generally likes school)	259	ŗ	15	115	31	8	1	9	7	15	13
	. †	Creative activities	194	0	11	33	88	10	3	٦	9	‡	2
	5.	The teachers	203	6	6	34	43	2	72	0	10	9	15
	9	The other children	191	†	10	50	35	80	33	٦	Ħ	٦	11
	7.	Library and books	51	0.	נו	6	9	က	17	0	0	٦	4

4F. What are some of the things that your child really likes about school? (continued)

ပ	Categories (Cont'd)	Total	ಬ	M	MC	≱i	мi	田	剧	۳I	핆	읾
φ.	<pre>other classroom and school act- ivides (group meeting, typing, blocks, doll corner class news, play time, cooking, howework, assemblies)</pre>	246	Ħ	Θ	41	54	14	02	H	ω	5	. 4
o,	Gym	29	0	80	5	16	N	53	-	0		러
10.	. Learning environment (freedom, independent opportunity)	33	4	4	33	0	3	14	0	۲	0	#
1	. Mothers' participation in class and on trips	2	0	Ø	N	0	Н	H	0	0	0	٦
12.	Meals	29	0	ผ	1 77	9	۲	17	9	H	0	10
, tG.	Whom do you like to talk to about	your chi	child's	education?	ion?							
ri.	Teacher	700	88	54	187	95	19	198	8	18	23	70
ς.	Assistant principal	†	0	ผ	Ч	0	-	0	0	0	0	0
က်	Guidance teacher (counselor), psychologist	13	N	ณ	ч	0	5	H	0	0	0	N
.	Family assistant	က	0	Ч	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-
5.	Parent Progrem Assistant	3	0	-	0	0	ч	0	0	0	0	7
9	Principal, Director	141	H	۵	14	15	ч	9	0	0	0	N
7.	Teacher Assistant	99	#	7	0	75	-m	村	0	ณ	7	27
8	Father (of the child)	7	Ø	-	0	9	у. r-1	0	0	0	0	-

9 <u>6</u> 07	.23	Total	تا ان	MX	MG	≥	μl)	出	œ۱	귊	읾
9	Family Worker	25	Н	α	m	0	0	91	0	0	0	Н
10.	Parent Coordinator	17	N	0	ю	N	N	0	Н	က	-	Н
ij	Neightors and friends	1,4	ĸ	0.	0	0	· 1 5	Н	0	N	0	т
ļΉ.	Is there anything else you would	like to te	tell me	about	t your	child?	<u>&:</u>					
	Yes	203 617	14 22	19	38 155	14 127	22	67 136	2 17	5 24	30	32 57
إبو	If Yes, what would you like to tell me?											,
at	Categories											
.	Child has learned a great deal in Follow Through	50	N	7	1	. 0	· H	19	0	-	4	• m
ď	Child loves teacher, school and friends	15	н	 H	Ø	N	4	ผ	0	Н	0	ผ
က်	Would like Follow Through to continue to upper grades	14	0	Ø	ω	0	0	N	0	H	0	ч
±	Harpy that child are in present school	18	#	H	က	0	H	. #	0	4	Н	0
5.	Child has art classes	က	0	Н	N	0		0	0	0	0	0
••	Child's special needs and achieve- ments	98	Ŋ	9	13	9	N	35	0	0	0	19
7.	Like to listen to children talk about school, can learn about their problems	m	0	Н	α	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

잂	9
딥	0
œ۱	c
田	. 0
H	9
æΙ	٦
×	0
S	6
M	H
ਹ	٦
Total	24
Cetegrates (Cont'd)	8. Suggestions for improving the preferan

Diversion L. T. 5A. Answer only if parent has not visited classroom.

If you have not visited your child's classroom and would like to, is there anything we could do to help

3					
3	w w		0	0	Ø
	00		0	0	
M	0 m		0	N	0
Out. y 1011.1	# O		ч	0	0
a Tarro	1161		Н	0	m
c T	0 O		Н	0	٦
, 0	17		9	N	N
TT name	33		6	0	17
" 1	00		0	ч	0
1000 7	W W		0	0	7
your clitta a crassioom and would rive to, is wiste anywhile we could do	72 58		18	72	53
	Yes	. 0			<i>:</i>
bring it about?	e.d	If Yes, what can we do?	1. Babysitting	2. Arranging visit	3. Medical assistance